

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

7

APPLICATION NO	i. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,877		12/11/2001	Matthew L. Albert	600-1-291 CON	4555
23565	7590	12/17/2003	EXAMINER		INER
KLAUBE			NICROL, GARY B		
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1642	
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
055	10/014,877	ALBERT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest professor was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made of a claim fo	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(exist sentence of the specification or rovisional application has been receitic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National Stage  d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived. and/or 121 since a specific
reference was included in the first sentence of t	ne specification or in an Applicatio	n Data Sneet, 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)		
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

· Application/Control Number: 10/014,877

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-48 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, 17-21 drawn to an *in-vitro* method of delivering antigen to dendritic cells comprising contacting dendritic cells with apoptotic cells, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- II. Claims 1-16, 18-21, drawn to a method of delivering antigen to dendritic cells comprising contacting dendritic cells with apoptotic cells *in-vivo*, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- III. Claims 22-23, drawn to a method of generating antigen-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes comprising contacting T lymphocytes with dendritic cells that have been expoxed to apoptotic cells expressing an antigen, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- IV. Claim 24, as solely drawn to a method of administering antigen-specific cytotoxicT-cells to an individual with a disease, classified in class 424, subclass 93.71.

Art Unit: 1642

- V. Claims 25, 43-45 as solely drawn to a method of administering apoptotic-primed dendritic cells to an to an individual with a disease for the purpose of activating T-cells, classified in class 424, subclass 93.71.
- VI. Claims 26, 35-42, drawn to an antigen presenting dendritic cell and pharmaceutical compositions thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 372.
- VII. Claim 27, drawn to cytotoxic T lymphocytes, classified in class 435, subclass 372.3.
- VIII. Claims 28-30, drawn to a method of assessing CTL activity comprising exposing antigen presenting dendritic cells to a population of T cells to be assayed for their ability to exhibit killer cell activity, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- IX. Claims 31-34, drawn to a method of delivering antigen to dendritic cells comprising contacting dendritic cells with material selected from the group consisting of a reconstituted apoptotic cell system, apoptotic cell fragments, and liposomes comprising at least one antigen and a material which enhances internalization and translocation of antigen to an antigen processing compartment of said dendritic cells, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Art Unit: 1642

- Claims 46-47, drawn to a method of activating CD4+ T cells comprising contacting a population of T lymphocytes with dendritic cells which have been contacted with antigen present on necrotic cells, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- XI. Claim 48, drawn to a method of activating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells against tumor cells comprising a tumor antigen, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The Inventions of Groups VI and VII represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.

The inventions of Groups I-V and VIII-XI are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. For example, Group I is limited to practicing the method in-vitro, while Group II is a separate invention since the method is practiced in-vivo. Groups IV and V are separate inventions that administer different products. Groups X and XI are independent and distinct since they require the activation of different cell types to practice the invention.

· Application/Control Number: 10/014,877

Art Unit: 1642

The invention of Group VII and the method of Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (I) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the cytotoxic lymphocytes as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as methods of administering such lymphocytes to an individual with a disease.

The invention of Group VI and the method of Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (I) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the dendritic cells as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as methods of administering such cells to an individual with a disease.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for another group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1642

## Note:

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the

· Application/Control Number: 10/014,877 Page 7

Art Unit: 1642

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1642

GRN

December 15, 2003

Youpprutar